A Note on Linear Complementary Pairs of Group Codes

Martino Borello,

LAGA, UMR 7539, CNRS, Université Paris 13 - Sorbonne Paris Cité, Université Paris 8, F-93526, Saint-Denis, France.

and

Javier de la Cruz Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia

and

Wolfgang Willems

Otto-von-Guericke Universität, Magdeburg, Germany and Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia

Keywords. Group code, linear complementary pair (LCP) **MSC classification.** 94B05, 94B99, 20C05

Abstract

We give a short and elementary proof of the fact that for a linear complementary pair (C, D), where C and D are 2-sided ideals in a group algebra, D is uniquely determined by C and the dual code D^{\perp} is permutation equivalent to C. This includes earlier results of [3] and [6] on nD cyclic codes which have been proved by subtle and lengthy calculations in the space of polynomials.

Throughout this note let K be a finite field. A pair (C, D) of linear codes over K of length n is called a <u>linear complementary pair</u> (LCP) if $C \cap D = \{0\}$ and $C + D = K^n$, or equivalently if $C \oplus D = K^n$. In the special case that $D = C^{\perp}$ where the dual is taken with respect to the Euclidean inner product the code C is referred to a <u>linear complementary</u> <u>dual</u> (LCD) code. LCD codes have first been considered by Massey in [7]. The nowadays interest of LCP codes aroused from the fact that they can be used in protection against side channel and fault injection attacks [1], [2], [4]. In this context the security of a linear complementary pair (C, D) can be measured by the security parameter min $\{d(C), d(D^{\perp})\}$. Clearly, if $D = C^{\perp}$, then the security parameter for (C, D) is d(C).

Just recently, it has been shown in [3] that for linear complementary pairs (C, D) the codes C and D^{\perp} are equivalent if C and D are both cyclic or 2D cyclic codes under the assumption that the characteristic of K does not divide the length. In [6], this result has

been extended to the case that both C and D are nD cyclic for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In both papers the proof is rather complicated and formulated in the world of polynomials.

Recall that an nD cyclic code is an ideal in the algebra

$$R_n = K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\langle x^{m_1}-1,\ldots,x^{m_n}-1\rangle,$$

and that R_n is isomorphic to the group algebra KG where $G = C_{m_1} \times \cdots \times C_{m_n}$ with cyclic groups C_{m_i} of order m_i . Thus the above mentioned results are results on ideals in abelian group algebras.

A linear code C is called a group code, or G-code, if C is a right ideal in a group algebra

$$KG = \{a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mid a_g \in K\}$$

where G is a finite group. The vector space $KG \cong K^{[G]}$ with basis $g \in G$ serves as the ambient space and the weight function is defined by $\operatorname{wt}(a) = |\{g \in G \mid a_g \neq 0\}|$ (which corresponds to the classical weight function via the isomorphism $KG \cong K^{[G]}$). Note that KG carries a K-algebra structure via the multiplication in G. More precisely, if $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ and $b = \sum_{g \in G} b_g g$ are given, then

$$ab = \sum_{g \in G} (\sum_{h \in G} a_h b_{h^{-1}g})g.$$

In this sense nD cyclic codes are group codes for abelian groups G and vice versa since a finite abelian group is the direct product of cyclic groups.

There is a natural K-linear anti-algebra automorphism $\hat{}: KG \longrightarrow KG$ which is given by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$ (in the isomorphism $KG \cong K^{|G|}$, the automorphism $\hat{}$ corresponds to a permutation of the coordinates). Thus we may associate to each $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in KG$ the *adjoint* $\hat{a} = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g^{-1}$ and call a self-adjoint if $a = \hat{a}$.

In addition, the group algebra KG carries a symmetric non-degenerate G-invariant bilinear form $\langle ., . \rangle$ which is defined by

$$\langle g,h \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g = h \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here G-invariance means that $\langle ag, bg \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$ for all $a, b \in KG$ and all $g \in G$. Via the isomorphism $KG \cong K^{|G|}$, the above form corresponds to the usual Euclidean inner product. With respect to this form we may define the dual code C^{\perp} of a group code $C \leq KG$ as usual and say that C is self-dual if $C = C^{\perp}$. Note that for a group code C the dual C^{\perp} is a right ideal since for all $c \in C, c^{\perp} \in C^{\perp}$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$\langle c, c^{\perp}g \rangle = \langle cg^{-1}, c^{\perp} \rangle = 0.$$

Thus with C the dual C^{\perp} is a group code as well.

In [9] we classified completely group algebras which contain self-dual ideals. More precisely, a self-dual G-code exists over the field K if and only if |G| and the characteristic of K are even. In [5] we investigated LCD group codes and characterized them via self-adjoint idempotents $e^2 = e = \hat{e}$ in the group algebra KG.

In this short note we prove the following theorem which includes the above mentioned results of [3] and [6]. Observe that we require no assumption on the characteristic of the field K.

Theorem. Let G be a finite group. If $C \oplus D = KG$ where C and D are 2-sided ideals in KG, then D is uniquely determined by C and D^{\perp} is permutation equivalent to C. In particular $d(D^{\perp}) = d(C)$.

In order to prove the Theorem we state some elementary facts from representation theory.

Definition. If M is a right KG-module, then the dual vector space $M^* = \text{Hom}_K(M, K)$ becomes a right KG-module via

$$m(\alpha g) = (mg^{-1})\alpha$$

where $m \in M, \alpha \in M^*$ and $g \in G$. With this action M^* is called the *dual module* of M. Clearly, dim $M^* = \dim M$.

Lemma A. (Okuyama-Tsushima, [8]) If $e = e^2 \in KG$, then $\hat{e}KG \cong eKG^*$. In particular, dim $\hat{e}KG = \dim eKG$.

Proof: A short proof is given in Lemma 2.3 of [5].

Lemma B. If D = eKG with $e^2 = e \in KG$, then $D^{\perp} = (1 - \hat{e})KG$. **Proof:** First observe that $\hat{e}^2 = \hat{e}$ and that $\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle b, \hat{a}c \rangle$ for all $a, b, c \in KG$. Thus

$$\langle ea, (1-\hat{e})b \rangle = \langle e^2a, (1-\hat{e})b \rangle = \langle ea, \hat{e}(1-\hat{e})b \rangle = 0$$

for all $a, b \in KG$. Hence, $(1 - \hat{e})KG \subseteq D^{\perp}$. As

$$\dim (1 - \hat{e})KG = |G| - \dim \hat{e}KG = |G| - \dim eKG^*$$
 (by Lemma A)
 = |G| - dim eKG
 = dim D[⊥]

we obtain $(1 - \hat{e})KG = D^{\perp}$.

Proof of the Theorem: Since $C \oplus D = KG$ we may write D = eKG and C = (1-e)KG for a suitable central idempotent

$$e = e^2 \in Z(KG) = \{a \mid ab = ba \text{ for all } b \in KG\}$$

(see [5]). Lemma B says that $D^{\perp} = (1 - \hat{e})KG$. Via the map $\hat{}: KG \longrightarrow KG$ the K-linear code $(1 - \hat{e})KG$ is permutation equivalent to the K-linear code KG(1 - e). But KG(1 - e) = (1 - e)KG = C since e is central, which completes the proof. \Box

If C and D are only right ideals, then D is uniquely determined by C, but D^{\perp} , in general, is not necessarily permutation equivalent to C. It even may happen that $d(D^{\perp}) \neq d(C)$ as the next example shows.

Example. Let $K = \mathbb{F}_2$ and let

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^7 = 1 = b^2, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle$$

be a dihedral group of order 14. If we put

$$e = 1 + a + a^2 + a^4 + b + a^2b + a^5b + a^6b,$$

then $e = e^2$. With MAGMA one easily computes d((1-e)KG) = 2 and d(KG(1-e)) = 3.

Now let C = (1 - e)KG and D = eKG. By Lemma B, we have $D^{\perp} = (1 - \hat{e})KG$. Thus

$$d(D^{\perp}) = d((1 - \hat{e})KG) = d(KG(1 - e)) = 3,$$

but d(C) = d((1 - e)KG) = 2. We like to mention here that C and D are quasi-cyclic codes.

Remark. Let $|K| = q^2$. In this case we may consider the Hermitian inner product on KG which is defined by

$$\langle \sum_{g \in G} a_g g, \sum_{h \in G} b_h h \rangle = \sum_{g \in G} a_g b_g^q.$$

For $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ we put $a^{(q)} = \sum_{g \in G} a_g^q g$. With this notation we have

$$D^{\perp} = (1 - e^{(q)})KG$$

in Lemma B. Applying the anti-automorphism $\hat{}: KG \longrightarrow KG$ we see that D^{\perp} is permutation equivalent to $KG(1 - e^{(q)})$. If in addition e is central, then $e^{(q)}$ is central. Thus D^{\perp} is permutation equivalent to $(1 - e^{(q)})KG = ((1 - e)KG)^{(q)}$.

It follow that

$$d(D^{\perp}) = d((1-e)KG)^{(q)}) = d((1-e)KG) = d(C).$$

Thus, in the Hermitian case a linear complementary pair (C, D) of 2-sided group codes C and D also has security parameter d(C).

References

- S. BHASIN, J.-L. DANGER, S. GUILLEY, Z. NAJIM AND X.T. NGO, "Linear complementary dual code improvement to strengthen encoded circuit against hardware Trojan horses." In Proc. *IEEE Int. Symp. Hardware Oriented Secur. Trust* (HOST) 2015, pp. 82-87.
- [2] J. BRINGER, C. CARLET, H. CABANNE, S. GUILLEY AND H. MAGHREBI, "Orthogonal direct sum masking: A smartcard friendly computation paradigm in a code, with builtin protection against side-channel and fault attacks." in *Proc. WIST*, Springer 2014, pp. 40-56.
- [3] C. CARLET, C. GÜNERI, F. ÖZBUDAK, B. ÖZKAYA AND P. SOLÉ, "On linear complementary pairs of codes." *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 64, pp. 6583-6589, 2018.
- [4] C. CARLET and S. GUILLEY, "Complementary Dual Codes for Counter-measures to Side-Channel Attacks. In "Coding Theory and Applications." Eds. R. Pinto, P. Rocha Malonek and P. Vettory, *CIM Series in Math. Sciences.* vol. 3, pp. 97-105, Springer 2015.
- [5] J. DE LA CRUZ AND W. WILLEMS, "On group codes with complementary duals." Des. Codes Cryptogr., vol. 86, pp. 2065-2073, 2018.
- [6] C. GÜNERI, B. ÖZKAYA AND S. SAYICI, "On linear complementary pair of nD cyclic codes." *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 22, pp. 2404-2406, 2018.
- [7] J.L. MASSEY, "Linear codes with complementary duals." Discrete Math., vol. 106/107, 337-342, 1992.
- [8] T. OKUYAMA AND Y. TSUSHIMA, "On a conjecture of P. Landrock." J. of Algebra, vol. 104, pp. 203-208, 1986.
- [9] W. WILLEMS, "A note on self-dual group codes." *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 48, pp. 3107-3109, 2002.